



The London Resort Development Consent Order Environmental Impact Assessment Preliminary Environmental Information Report – Volume 2: Figures

July 2020

Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

Regulation 5(2)(a)

The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017

Regulation 12(1)

Reference: BC080001

[This page is intentionally left blank]

EIA PEIR Volume 2: Figures◆: contents

1. Introduction

- Figure 1.1: Location plan – regional context
- Figure 1.2: Location plan – local context

4. Alternatives sites and project evolution

- Figure 4.1: Search corridor and site options location plan
- Figure 4.2a Draft Masterplans
- Figure 4.2b Draft Masterplans
- Figure 4.2c Draft Masterplans
- Figure 4.2d Draft Masterplans
- Figure 4.2e Draft Masterplans
- Figure 4.3a Principal layout options
- Figure 4.3b Principal layout options
- Figure 4.3c Principal layout options

5. Site and project description

- Figure 5.1: The Kent Project Site
- Figure 5.2: The Essex Project Site
- Figure 5.3: Illustrative masterplan
- Figure 5.4: Land uses

7. Land use and socio-economics

- Figure 7.1: Study areas (in chapter)
- Figure 7.2: Healthcare provision in the CIA (in chapter)
- Figure 7.3: PRoW and routes affected by the London Resort (in chapter)
- Figure 7.4: Top 10 theme parks attractions in England (in chapter)
- Figure 7.5: Tourist attractions and cultural assets in the CSA (in chapter)
- Figure 7.6: Top attractions in Kent and Essex (in chapter)
- Figure 7.7: Map of the top 25 global theme parks, 2014 (in chapter)

8. Human health

- Figure 8.1: General determinants of health (in chapter)
- Figure 8.2: Relevant study areas (in chapter)
- Figure 8.3: PHE public health profile of Dartford, Gravesham and Thurrock, 2019 (in chapter)
- Figure 8.4: Deprivation map of the study areas (in chapter)

- Figure 8.5: Potential facilities / services within the CIA (in chapter)

9. Transport, accessibility and movement

- Figure 9.1: Site Location Plan
- Figure 9.2: Highway traffic model extent
- Figure 9.3: Strategic highway links
- Figure 9.4: Traffic survey locations
- Figure 9.5: Sensitive receptors
- Figure 9.6: Strategic Highway Network
- Figure 9.7: Local Highway Network
- Figure 9.8: Local Parking Restrictions
- Figure 9.9: Local Parking Restrictions audit
- Figure 9.10: Personal injury accident (PIA) study area
- Figure 9.11: Existing pedestrian and cycle provision
- Figure 9.12: Existing air and ferry ports
- Figure 9.13: Existing rail provision
- Figure 9.14: Existing bus routes
- Figure 9.15: Existing fastrack route

10. River Transport

- Figure 10.1: Proposed NRA Study Area

11. Landscape and visual effects

- Figure 11.1: Landscape Designations and other considerations
- Figure 11.2: Other environmental considerations
- Figure 11.3: Published Landscape Character Areas
- Figure 11.4: Historical Aerial Mapping
- Figure 11.5: Zone of Theoretical Visibility (based on the Project Site in its current form)
- Figure 11.6: Zone of Theoretical Visibility (based on draft Rochdale parameters)
- Figure 11.7: Photoviewpoints
- Figure 11.8: Proposed Public Rights of Way Strategy
- Figure 11.9: Green Infrastructure Strategy
- Figure 11.10: Landscape and Ecology Initiatives Plan

12. Terrestrial and freshwater ecology and biodiversity

- Figure 12.1: Kent Project Site Areas
- Figure 12.2: Statutory Designations Plan
- Figure 12.3: Non-Statutory Designations Plan
- Figure 12.4: Extended Phase 1 Habitat Survey

13. Marine ecology and biodiversity

- Figure 13.1: Location of the order limits for the Project Site in relation to River Thames (in chapter)
- Figure 13.2: Structures associated with the London Resort within the marine environment (in chapter)
- Figure 13.3: Structures within the Kent Project Site and interaction with intertidal and subtidal habitats (in chapter)

14. Cultural heritage and archaeology

- Figure 14.1: The Kent Project Site and Designated Heritage Assets within 1km Study Area (based on NHLE and KHER)
- Figure 14.2: The Essex Project Site and Designated Heritage Assets within 1km Study Area (based on NHLE and KHER)
- Figure 14.3: The Project Sites and Designated Heritage Assets within 5km (based on NHLE and KHER)
- Figure 14.4: Undesignated Heritage Assets within the Kent Project Site (monument points) excluding findspots (north)
- Figure 14.5: Undesignated Heritage Assets within the Kent Project Site (monument lines and polygons) excluding findspots (north)
- Figure 14.6: Undesignated Heritage Assets within the Kent Project Site (monument points) excluding findspots (south)
- Figure 14.7: Undesignated Heritage Assets within the Kent Project Site (monument polygons and lines) excluding findspots (south)
- Figure 14.8: Undesignated Heritage Assets within the Kent Project Site (all records excluding findspots) (west)
- Figure 14.9: Undesignated Heritage Assets within the Essex Project Site (excluding findspots)

15. Noise and vibration

- Figure 15.1: Kent and Essex Project Site map, illustrating NSR locations (in chapter)
- Figure 15.2: Approximate monitoring locations around the Kent Project Site (in chapter)
- Figure 15.3: Preliminary Project Site construction noise assessment locations (in chapter)
- Figure 15.4: Calculated daytime levels LAeq, 18hr dB(A) for the area surround the Kent Project Site, calibrated to baseline noise survey and traffic movement data (in chapter)
- Figure 15.5: Calculated daytime levels LAeq, 18hr dB(A) for the area surrounding the Essex Project Site, calibrated to noise data from the Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (in chapter)
- Figure 15.6: Difference between the noise caused by the operation of the Kent Project Site in 2038 and the baseline ambient noise levels (in chapter)
- Figure 15.7: Calculated daytime levels LAeq, 18hr dB(A) for the Tilbury Town area, calibrated to baseline 2018 traffic movement noise data and to 2038 predicted traffic movement noise data (in chapter)
- Figure 15.8: Calculated daytime levels LA,max,f dB(A) for the area surrounding the Kent

Project Site with only the Gate One rides and attractions in operation (no road traffic or any other sources of noise modelled). 43 dB(A) noise climate threshold is indicated in blue (in chapter)

- Figure 15.9: Calculated daytime levels $LA_{max,f}$ dB(A) for the area surrounding The Kent Project Site, with the Gate One and Gate Two rides and attractions in operation (no road traffic or any other sources of noise modelled). 43 dB(A) noise climate threshold is indicated in blue (in chapter)

16. Air quality

- Figure 16.1: Ecological receptors in relevant proximity to the Project Site (in chapter)
- Figure 16.2: Air quality management areas in the vicinity of the Project Site (in chapter)
- Figure 16.3: Nearby air quality monitoring locations (in chapter)

17. Water resources and flood risk

- Figure 17.1: Existing ditches within Swanscombe Peninsula on Kent Project Site and outfall locations (in chapter)
- Figure 17.2: Surface water features – Kent Project Site (in chapter)
- Figure 17.3: Surface water features – Essex Project Site (in chapter)
- Figure 17.4: Location of the Project Site in relation to surrounding areas north and south of the River Thames (in chapter)
- Figure 17.5: Location of Swanscombe Marine Conservation Zone (in chapter)
- Figure 17.6: Bell Wharf and White's Jetty (in chapter)
- Figure 17.7: Photograph of existing Landing Stage and Ro-Ro facility at the Essex project site (in chapter)
- Figure 17.8: Proposed water supply and treatment for the Project Site (in chapter)